DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



September 5, 1996

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 96-47

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
ALL DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

ALL TITLE IV AGENCIES

REAS	ON FOR THIS TRANSMITTAL
[]	State Law Change
[]	
	Change
[]	Court Order or Settlement
[]	Agreement
[X]	Clarification Requested by
	One or More Counties

[] Initiated by CDSS

SUBJECT: RECORDS RETENTION

THIS LETTER SUPERSEDES ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 96-16.

This All-County Letter is a reminder of the legal requirements for records retention and the identification of certain records which require extended retention periods.

A. Public Assistance Records

The California Department of Social Services Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) sets forth various retention periods for public assistance records. Generally, the regulations require that all public assistance (23-353), social service (10-119.2), and administrative claiming (25-815.38) records and their supporting documents be retained for three years from the date the State submits the last expenditure report to the Federal Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Case record material must be retained for three years after the date the last State expenditure report has been made to HHS for the period the records were last used to document eligibility. MPP Sections 23-353 through 23-356 set forth the requirements for certain records which have retention periods which vary from the general rule. While the regulations must be reviewed for a complete listing, the most common occurrences are listed below. Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

1. Records and their supporting documents must be retained when there is an open Federal or State audit. This includes those Federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports listed on Attachment I, those unresolved Federal audits listed on Attachment II, and the State Controller's Office audits listed on Attachment IV.* Counties are to inform contractors providing social services to retain all necessary records for audits which have not been resolved/closed.

^{*} Records retention periods vary among unresolved State audits. See special instructions at the beginning of each category of State Controller's Office audits listed in Attachment IV.

- 2. Case records in which criminal or civil litigation was involved are to be retained for three years after the final claim is submitted for Federal reimbursement. These records include those which were used in the determination of eligibility, including denials, for the amount of retroactive benefits. Other records in the case must be retained in accordance with the requirements for public assistance records specified elsewhere in this letter. Attachment V lists court cases involving the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) which require the extended retention period.
- 3. The Form ABCD 278L, List of Authorizations to Start, Change, or Stop Aid Payments (or its equivalent), which bears the original initials or the original signature of the delegated county employee who authorized the specific action is identified as one of the records and supporting documents which must be retained in accordance with the retention period for the case record material.
- 4. The County shall retain Form ABCD 278L or its equivalent for a period of 10 years following closure in all cases where notification to do so by the <u>child support agency</u> has been received.
- 5. County welfare warrants must be retained for five years. Warrant registers must be retained for 15 years.
- 6. While not required by regulation, it is desirable that those AFDC case records, and their supporting documents, identified by CDSS as federal sample quality control cases containing an error be retained until the federal sanction process is resolved for the applicable federal fiscal year. See Attachment VI.

Other records need not be retained in the case file as long as sufficient records/verifications are retained to meet Federal quality control requirements for the AFDC Program (AFDC Quality Control Manual Section 3000) and for the Food Stamp Program (FNS Quality Control Handbook 310, Chapter 5). Listed below are examples of those documents which may be purged from the case records:

- 1. Documents or evidence (photocopies) such as birth certificates and divorce papers provided by the recipient to establish eligibility may be purged (MPP Section 48-001.112) provided that there is a written record of the type of evidence and its pertinent content in conformance with QC requirements. This notation would normally be made in the case narrative and shall also contain the following detail:
 - A. The type and source of document, its date (processed, signed, received or sent), any identification/serial numbers, and the volume and page number, if applicable.
 - B. Where the original document is located, if appropriate, such as a government office.

^{*} See footnote on page 1.

C. Any other pertinent information for Quality Control purposes from the document.

NOTE: Original documents received should have been returned to the applicant/recipient. The county may choose to retain essential and non-essential documents in the case records in lieu of the documentation and purging discussed above. Retention would assure that all necessary information is in the case file.

- 2. Documents which were never used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided they have no potential of being used to take action on a case, including good cause determination or fair hearing process. For example, a note from an applicant canceling a meeting may be needed as evidence, should you determine a denial is appropriate based on noncooperation. However, once the eligibility determination is made and documented the note would be of no value and may be
- 3. Records which were used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided three years have passed since the last state expenditure report for that period has been submitted to HHS. These records must be retained longer when there are unresolved audits* or court cases.
- 4. While not required by regulation, it is desirable that those cases identified by CDSs as Federal sample quality control cases containing an error be retained until the Federal sanction process is resolved for the applicable Federal fiscal year. See Attachment VI.

Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

B. Food Stamp Records

There are two separate retention requirements for Food Stamp Program records. First, all program records are to be retained for a period of three years from the month of origin. Second, all fiscal records and accountable documents are to be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. This means that records such as, but not limited to transaction receipts, master issuance files, records-for-issuance for each month, authorization documents, cashier's daily reports, Notices of Change, Form FNS-250s (Food Coupon Accountability Report), Household Issuance Record cards, and tally sheets shall be retained for three years. However, any documents or records which are involved in any billing or claim shall be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. For example, FNS-250s that do not result in a billing against the state agency shall be retained three years from the month of origin. But, FNS-250s that result in a billing must be retained for three years from the date that obligations for or against the federal government have been liquidated. Also, any records or

^{*} See footnote on page 1.

documents that are involved in a fiscal audit* or investigation must be retained for three years from the date the audit or investigation is closed. To illustrate this point further, if an FNS-250 that originated prior to April 1992 had fiscal liabilities that were not settled until July 1994, that FNS-250 cannot be destroyed until August 1997. But, on the other hand, if the same FNS-250 had no fiscal involvement, it could be destroyed as of May 1995. Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

Same records require retention periods of more than three years. These

- Food Stamp records that are a part of an assistance case record must be retained in accordance with MPP Chapter 23-350. (See Part A of include: 1 this letter.)
 - Records and their supporting documents for which there is an open Federal or State audit must be retained. This includes those federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports and the unresolved audits* listed on Attachments I, II, and IV. 2.
 - Case records in which criminal or civil litigation was involved are to be retained for three years after the final claim is submitted for Federal reimbursement. Records which must be retained include those documents which were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) and those used to determine the amount paid as 3. retroactive benefits. Other documents in the case record must be retained in accordance with the requirements for public assistance records specified elsewhere in this letter. Attachment V lists court cases involving CDSS which require the extended retention period.
 - While not required by regulation, it is desirable that those FS program case records and their supporting documents, which have been identified by CDSS as federal sample quality control cases containing an error, should be retained until the federal sanction process is resolved for the applicable Federal fiscal year. See Attachment VI. 4.

Title IV-D Child Support Records C.

Federal regulation 45 CFR 74.21 requires records to be retained for three years from the starting date specified in 45 CFR 74.22. That regulation states that the starting date for the retention of (Title IV-D) records begins on the day that the grantee (CDSS) submits its expenditure report for the last quarter of the Federal fiscal year. In other words, Federal regulations require closed case records to be retained for three years after the date that the last quarter's State expenditure report is made to the Federal Government for the Federal fiscal year that the records were closed. More simply, case records must be retained for a maximum of four years and four months (the normal period of time that would occur between

^{*} See footnote on page 1.

the date a case is closed and the date CDSS would submit its last quarter's expenditure report for the Federal fiscal year that the case was closed).

Federal regulation 45 CFR 74.21 sets forth the requirements for certain records which have retention requirements which vary from this general rule. Some records require a longer retention period. These include:

- 1. Records and their supporting documentation must be retained when they are the subject of an open Federal or State audit.
- 2. Records and their supporting documentation must be retained when they are the subject of pending civil litigation or when court orders require extended retention periods.

It should be noted that the Federal Government has authority to audit records, regardless of their age, for as long as they are retained.

The provisions of this letter are for the fiscal purposes of CDSS and do not authorize the destruction of those records which have a longer retention period required by other laws/regulations, court cases, or unresolved audits.*

The retention periods are the same for paper and microfilm records. For the conditions on the substitution of microfilm for paper records please refer to ACL 85-34.

Questions or comments regarding records retention involving court cases for specific programs should be addressed to the following persons representing those programs:

AFDC	Vince T∞lan	(916)	654-1808
Food Stamps	David Badal	(916)	654-1405
GAIN	Bill Passavant	(916)	654-1423
Child Support	Myrna Fluke	(916)	657-3484

All questions and comments regarding records retention involving audits for all programs should be addressed to Jerry Demorest of the Fiscal Policy Bureau at (916) 657-3659.

For general records retention questions, contact Vanessa Byrd at (916) 657-1912.

JARVIO A. GREVIOUS Deputy Director Administration Division

cc: CWDA

Attachments

^{*} See footnote on page 1.

Status as of 07/01/96

FEDERAL AUDITS PENDING RELEASE OF FINAL AUDIT REPORTS

Programs Administered by the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services

ID Number	Description	Audit/Review Period (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Records Required to Be Retained
PUBLIC ASSIS	STANCE RECORDS:				
HHS-OIG * A-09-96- 00066	Financial Audit of Title IV-E Training Expenditures (State & County Indirect Costs)		b	All Counties	C&K
HHS-OIG * A-09-96- 00071	Audit of CA's Foster Family Agency Rates	10/01/91- 09/30/95	b	14 Counties (See Attachment IA)	A & B
HHS-OIG* A-09-96- 000	Review of "Representative Payee" Function in Support of Foster Care Recipients	07/01/95- 06/30/96	b	Los Angeles	A&K
HHS- * OCSE CA-94 RSR	Review of CA's System for Reporting Child Support Enforce. Pgm. Collections, Expend. & Stats.	10/1/93- 09/30/95	е	Los Angeles Sacramento San Bernardino	F

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

A Case records selected for audit/review; audit-related materials (e.g., county policy, procedures, correspondence, memoranda, etc. pertinent to the audit/review).

B Pertinent Assistance Claims; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

C Pertinent Administrative Expense Claims, supporting documentation and audit related materials.

F Pertinent Child Support Program reports, supporting documentation and audit-related materials.

K Fiscal/financial claims reports, records, contracts, agreements, etc. which pertain to the audit, supporting documentation and audit related materials.

b Audit survey or field work in progress.

e CDSS comments submitted; final audit report pending.

^{*} New since ACL 96-16.

FEDERAL AUDITS PENDING RELEASE OF FINAL AUDIT REPORTS

Programs Administered by the
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the
U.S. Department of Agriculture

ID Number	Description	Audit/Review Period (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Records Required to Be Retained
PUBLIC ASSIS	STANCE RECORDS (Conti	nued)			
HHS-OIG A-09-95- 00056	Financial Audit of Title IV-E Training Expenditures (Social (Work Stipend Proje	1	е	CDSS and Los Angeles DCFS	C & K
sco .	Review of IHSS Claims Payment Process, Internal Control Risks & Weaknesses	01/01/93- 03/31/94	е	Alameda Sacramento Sonoma	A & K
HHS- OCSE CA-95 PR/PM	Pgm. Results/ Performance Measurement of CA's Child Support Enforcement Pgm.	04/01/94- 03/31/95	b	All Counties	A & F
FOOD STAMP R	ECORDS:				
USDA-OIG 27099-03-SF	Nationwide Audit of FSP Reinvestment Programs	10/01/93- 09/30/95	þ	Los Angeles San Diego	С, Ј & К

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

A Case records selected for audit/review; audit-related materials (e.g., county policy, procedures, correspondence, memoranda, etc. pertinent to the audit/review).

C Pertinent Administrative Expense Claims, supporting documentation and audit related materials.

F Pertinent Child Support Program reports (e.g., CS and OCSE Report Series, etc.); supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

J Case records included in the Federal/State Q.C. sample, reports of Q.C. results; supporting documentation.

K Fiscal/financial claims, reports, records, etc. which pertain to the audit, supporting documentation and audit related materials.

b Audit survey or field work in progress.

e CDSS comments submitted; final audit report pending.

AUDIT OF CALIFORNIA'S FOSTER FAMILY AGENCY RATES A-09-96-00071

Alameda
Butte
El Dorado
Kern
Los Angeles
Orange
Sacramento
San Diego
San Francisco
San Joaquin
San Luis Obispo
Santa Clara
Shasta

Stanislaus

FEDERAL AUDITS: FINAL AUDIT REPORTS ISSUED

Programs Administered by U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

ID Number		udit/Review	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
	SSISTANCE RECO					
CIN 09- 01-00086	DHHS-OIG Financial Audit of California's Foster Care Program	10/01/88- 09/30/91	f	Los Angeles Marin Riverside San Bernardino San Diego Santa Clara	\$51,400,000	А&В
CA-88-IR CA-89-IR	, FSA-OCSE Review of Interest Income Earne From Child Support Collections	10/01/81 - 03/31/89 d	t	13 Counties (4)	\$11,058,754	F
CIN 09-9 -00106	3 DHHS-OIG PR/PM Review of Californi Refugee-RSS TA Programs	a's	W	Los Angeles	\$ 31,001	A & I

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

⁽⁴⁾ See Attachment IIC.

A Case records selected for audit/review; audit-related materials (e.g., county policy, procedures, correspondence, memoranda, etc. pertinent to the audit/review).

B Pertinent Assistance Claims; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

F Pertinent Child Support Program reports [e.g., CS 800 & 820 Series, etc,.]; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

I Pertinent Refugee and Entrant Program reports, supporting documentation and audit related materials.

f Final report released; DHHS Decision Letter pending.

t Settlement negotiations in process.

w Other: CDSS response to County protest in process.

Status as of 07/01/96

FEDERAL AUDITS: FINAL AUDIT REPORTS ISSUED

Programs Administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture

ID Number	Audit/Review Description Period (1) Status		Status	State/County Agencies Affected	ception	Records Required to Be Retained	
	AMP RECORDS	relion (1)	Deacas				
USDA- OIG 27400- 2-SF	Audit of CA's FSP Financial Statement For FFY 1994	10/01/93- 09/30/94	S	Butte Los Angeles Placer San Benito Santa Cruz Shasta Sonoma	\$ 1,740	E	
27099- 29-SF#	ER Food Stamp Pgm/Northride Earthquake	=	S	Los Angeles	\$ 57,849	A&E	

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

A Case records selected for audit/review; audit-related materials (e.g., county policy, procedures, correspondence, memoranda, etc. pertinent to the audit/review).

E Pertinent Food Stamp Program reports (e.g., FNS 46, 209, 250, 259, etc.); supporting/source documentation; audit-related materials.

s Final report released; affected counties have been instructed to implement corrective action to remedy the audit exceptions.

[#] This audit was closed prematurely in ACL 96-16. Audit exception remains unresolved.

CA-88-IR/CA-89-IR

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FSA-OCSE REVIEW OF INTEREST/INVESTMENT INCOME EARNED ON CHILD SUPPORT COLLECTIONS/10/01/81 THROUGH 03/31/89

County	Federal Funds Questioned*
Alameda	\$ 706,269
Sacramento	216,283
San Francisco	685,677
Santa Clara	1,403,525
Orange	322,418
San Diego	1,674,826
Los Angeles	1,954,184
Contra Costa	219,552
Riverside	1,383,386
San Bernardino	1,139,511
Fresno	516,648
Ventura	665,028
TOTAL	\$10,887,307*

^{*} These amounts are approximate. At the release date of this letter, portions of certain of the individual County disallowances listed above were still being contested.

Programs Administered by U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

							
	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
GAO *	Review of CA FC Placements in Out-of-Stat Facilities	10/1/92- 09/30/94	GAO	Alameda Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Joaquin Santa Clara Tulare	\$ No Dollar Amounts Reported (08/11/95		12/31/98
CIN 09- 93- 00030	DHHS-OIG Audit of Collection & Distribution of Child Support	10/01/86- 09/30/91	DHHS- OIG	Contra Costa Los Angeles Monterey Sacramento San Francisco Santa Clara	\$ 374,135 (08/31/95)*		08/31/98
REGION IX ACF	ACF Programs Claims Validation Audit (Lake Co. "Maximus" Contract)	07/01/93- 06/30/94	Reg. IX-ACF	Lake	\$ 97,244 (10/30/95)*		10/30/98

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

A Case records selected for audit/review; audit-related materials (e.g., county policy, procedures, correspondence, memoranda, etc. pertinent to the audit/review).

B Pertinent Assistance Records; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

C Pertinent Administrative Expense Claims; supporting documentation, audit-related materials.

F Pertinent Child Support Program reports [e.g., CS 800 & 820 Series, etc.,]; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

^{*} New since ACL 96-16.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

^{***} Date Federal adjustment completed.

Programs Administered by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
PUBLIC	ASSISTANCE REC	CORDS:					
CA-IVA Qtrly Claims Rev.	DHHS-ACF Deferral of Costs Related to the Interest Portion of Rutan v. McM Corrective Payments.		DHHS- ACF	All Counties	\$ 293,173 (Closed 12/31/93)***	L.	12/31/96
CA-90 PR/PM	OCSE-Child Support Enforcement Program Compliance Review	07/01/89- 06/30/90	OCSE	All Counties	1 percent penalty was never imposed; audit closed 03/08/94.	A & F	* 03/08/97
CA- IV-E FC for FFY 85 FFY 86	DHHS-OIG Federal Foster Care Program Audit	10/01/84- 09/30/86	OIG	36 Counties (3)	\$ 417,205 (Closed for CA 12/31/94)	В	12/31/97

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽³⁾ See Attachment IIIA.

A Case records selected for audit/review; audit-related materials (e.g., county policy, procedures, correspondence, memoranda, etc. pertinent to the audit/review).

B Pertinent Assistance Claims; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

F Pertinent Child Support Program reports [e.g., CS 800 & 820 Series, etc.,]; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

L Other: Title IV-A Assistance and Administrative Expense Claims; source documentation of Rutan v. McMahon interest paid.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

^{***} Date Federal disallowance withdrawn or adjustment completed.

Programs Administered by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S.Department of Agriculture

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
PUBLIC	ASSISTANCE REC	ORDS:					
09-4- 017PD	LADPSS GR- AFIRM [AFDC]	10/01/91- 09/30/92	Region IX-ACF	Los Angeles	\$ 1,118,137 (07/31/94)**	D *	07/31/97
CA- IV-B Sec. 427	DHHS-ACF CWS Program Compliance Review	10-01-89- 09-30-90	Region IX-ACF	All Counties (5)	\$11,060,093 (06/30/95)**	A & C *	09/30/96
CA-IV* B/Sec. 427	DHHS-ACF CWS Program Compliance Review	10-01-90- 09-30-91	Region IX-ACF	All Counties (6)	\$13,053,084 (04/30/96)**	A & C *	09/30/96
FOOD S	TAMP RECORDS:						
OIG	Nationwide FSP Audit of SSN Matching To Prevent Fraudulent Participation	10/01/95- 11/30/95	USDA- OIG	Los Angeles Sacramento	No dollar amounts reported; Closed for C 6/30/96	A, C & D A.	09/30/96

- (1) If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.
- (5) See Attachment IIIB.
- (6) See Attachment IIIC.
- A Case records selected for audit/review; audit-related materials (e.g., county policy, procedures, correspondence, memoranda, etc. pertinent to the audit/review).
- C Pertinent Administrative Expense Claims; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.
- D Pertinent ADP systems design, documentation and approvals; supporting documentation for ADP system related costs claimed; audit-related materials.
- * New since ACL 96-16.
- ** These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.
- *** Date Federal adjustment completed.

Programs Administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture

		Audit/	S	tate/County		Records	Record
ID		Review	Audit	-	Exception	Required to	Destruction
Number	Description	Period(1)	Agency	Affected	Amount	Be Retained	Date**
FOOD S'	TAMP RECORDS:						
27018- 4-SF	Audit of CA's FSP Program Admin Expenses	07/01/90- 03/31/92	USDA- OIG	Contra Costa Los Angeles Napa San Diego San Luis Obispa	\$ 344,4 (08/31/9		08/31/98
27600- 11-SF	Nationwide FSP Error Rate Reduction Audit	10/01/91- 09/30/92	USDA- OIG	Los Angeles Orange	Exception amounts as Corrective action are Co. specifications of CA on 04/30/95	nd e e fic;	04/30/98
USDA- OIG 27401- 1-SF	Nationwide FSP Financial Statement Audit for FFY 1995	10/01/94- 09/30/95	USDA- OIG	Amador Humboldt Los Angeles Mariposa Placer Sacramento San Bernardino Santa Clara Yuba	Exception amounts as corrective action are Co. Special closed for CA on 12/31/95	nd e e fic;	12/31/98

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

C Pertinent Administrative Expense Claims; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

E Pertinent Food Stamp Program reports [e.g., FNS 46, 209, 250, 259, etc.]; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

J Case records included in the Federal/State Q.C. sample; reports of Q.C. results; Supporting documentation.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

^{***} Date Federal adjustment completed.

Programs Administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture

-		Audit/		State/County		_	Records	Record
ID		Review	Audit	Agencies	Except		equired to	Destruction
Number	Description	Period(1)	Agency	Affected	Amou	nt Be	e Retained	Date**
FOOD S'	ramp records:							
	Nationwide	10/01/92-		Los Angeles	Except		C & E	12/31/97
-2-SF	FSP Financial	09/30/93	OIG	San	amount			
	Statement Audi	, t		Bernardino	correct action			
	For FFY 1993			San Diego				
					closed	ecific;		
					CA on	TOT		
					12/31/	9.4		
					12/ 51/	<i></i>		
27070-	Nationwide	10/01/91-	USDA-	Los Angeles	Except:	ion	C & E	12/31/97
1-AT	FSP Financial	09/30/92	OIG	Orange	amount	s and *		
	Statement Audi	t		San	correct	tive		
	for FFY 1992			Bernardino	action			
				San Diego	Co. spe			
				Ventura	closed	for		
					CA on			
					12/31/9	94		
09-4-	LADPSS GR-	10/01/91-	USDA-	Los Angeles	\$ 899,4	28	C & D	07/31/97
017PD	AFIRM	09/30/92	OIG		(07/31,	/94)***		
	[Food Stamps]							
FNS-	Post	10/30/87-	Req.	Napa	\$ 26,	083	C & D	12/31/97
WRO-	Implementa-	09/30/92	IX-	-	(01/11/	/95)***		
FM-	tion Review/		ACF					
14-3	NAPAS							

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

C Pertinent Administrative Expense Claims; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

D Pertinent ADP systems design, documentation and approvals; supporting documentation for ADP system related costs claimed; audit-related materials.

E Pertinent Food Stamp Program reports [e.g., FNS 46, 209, 250, 259, etc.]; supporting documentation; audit-related materials.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

^{***} Date Federal adjustment completed.

CA-IV-E for FFY 85 & 86

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL FOSTER CARE PROGRAM TITLE IV-E REVIEW FOR FFY 85 AND FFY 86

Alameda Butte Contra Costa El Dorado Fresno Humboldt Imperial Kern Kings Los Angeles Madera Marin Mendocino Monterey Orange Placer Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara Siskiyou Solano Sonoma Stanislaus Sutter Tehama Tulare Ventura

Yolo Yuba

SSA TITLE IV-B, SECTION 427 REVIEW for FFY 1990

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL CHILD WELFARE SERVICES SSA TITLE IV-B, SECTION 427 REVIEW FOR FFY 1990

Alameda

Butte

Contra Costa

Del Norte

Fresno

Humboldt

Imperial

Kern

Kings

Los Angeles

Mendocino

Mono

Orange

Placer

Riverside

Sacramento

San Bernardino

San Diego

San Francisco

San Joaquin

San Luis Obispo

San Mateo

Santa Barbara

Santa Clara

Santa Cruz

Shasta

Solano

Sonoma

Stanislaus

Tulare

Tuolumne

Ventura

Yolo

Yuba

SSA TITLE IV-B, SECTION 427 REVIEW FOR FFY 1991

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL CHILD WELFARE SERVICES SSA TITLE IV-B, SECTION 427 REVIEW FOR FFY 1991

Alameda Butte Contra Costa El Dorado Fresno Humboldt Kern Kings Los Angeles Marin Merced Napa Orange Placer Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco San Joaquin San Mateo Santa Clara Santa Cruz Shasta Solano Sonoma Tulare Ventura

Yolo

COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS (CAEC) COST VALIDATION AUDITS AND REVIEW

Attachment IV lists unresolved CAEC COST VALIDATION AUDITS (CVA) performed by the State Controller's Office prior to December 31, 1991 and CAEC COST VALIDATION REVIEWS (CVR) performed by the CDSS-Fiscal Monitoring Unit after July 1, 1992. Total amounts are cited for all audit exceptions in the CVA reports. However, total exception amounts are not specified in CVR reports because in many instances, the county determines the total cost.

Separate lists exist for each stage of resolution. Please see notes at the beginning of each list.

The county must retain pertinent administrative expense claims (AEC) and supporting documentation for the audit periods noted below. Supporting documentation includes whatever records are necessary to support costs posted on the AEC, and which directly or indirectly relate to all exceptions/questioned costs identified in the reports.

IV.A FINAL REPORT ISSUED

Since all CVA reports have advanced beyond this stage, no CVA's will be reported in this category. In the following CVR's, field work has been completed and a final report has been released identifying exceptions/ questioned costs.

COST VALIDATION REVIEWS (CVR)

County	Review Agency	Review <u>Period</u>	Date of Report	Amount of Report
Butte*	CDSS	9/94 Quarter	09/06/95	Unspecified
Del Norte*	CDSS	6/92 Quarter	05/14/93	Unspecified
El Dorado*	CDSS	3/94 Quarter	06/02/95	Unspecified
Fresno*	CDSS	3/94 Quarter	01/31/95	Unspecified
Kern*	CDSS	12/93-12/94 Qtrs	12/20/95	Unspecified
Madera*	CDSS	9/94 Quarter	09/06/95	Unspecified

^{*} New since ACL 96-16.

IV.A FINAL REPORT ISSUED (Continued)

CVR's (CONTINUED)

County	Review Agency	Review Period	Date of Report	Amount of Report
Merced*	CDSS	6/93 Quarter	04/20/94	Unspecified
Orange*	CDSS	9/93 Quarter	07/05/94	Unspecified
Placer*	CDSS	12/91 Quarter	09/10/92	Unspecified
Riverside*	CDSS	12/93 Quarter	04/14/95	Unspecified
Sacramento DHHS*	CDSS	3/94 Quarter	08/31/95	Unspecified
Sacramento DHA*	CDSS	12/92-12/94 Qtrs	02/23/96	Unspecified
San Francisco*	CDSS	12/93 Quarter	09/21/95	Unspecified
San Francisco*	CDSS	9/95 Quarter	03/29/96	Unspecified
San Joaquin*	CDSS	3/93-3/95 Qtrs	02/29/96	Unspecified
San Bernardino*	CDSS	3/93-3/95 Qtrs	04/03/96	Unspecified
San Diego*	CDSS	3/93-3/95 Qtrs	06/27/96	Unspecified
Santa Clara*	CDSS	9/93 Quarter	01/16/95	Unspecified
Solano*	CDSS	6/93 Quarter	06/06/94	Unspecified
Stanislaus*	CDSS	3/93-3/95 Qtrs	06/19/96	Unspecified
Tulare (GAIN)*	CDSS	6/93 Quarter	11/10/94	Unspecified
Tulare (EDP)*	CDSS	12/91-12/94 Qtrs	08/16/95	Unspecified
Yolo*	CDSS	3/94 Quarter	02/24/95	Unspecified

^{*} New since ACL 96-16.

Status as of 07/01/96

CAEC COST VALIDATION AUDITS AND REVIEWS

IV.B PROTEST PENDING

At this stage, a final report has been issued, a protest has been filed by the affected county and a final protest decision is still pending.

Since all CVA's have completed this stage, no further CVA's will be reported in this category. Additionally, there are no CVR's in this category as of 07/01/96.

IV.C APPEAL FILED; HEARING PENDING

For the following CVA's and CVR's, an appeal has been filed by the effected Counties, but appealed issues have not yet been formally heard as of the release date of this ACL. There are no CVR's in this category as of 07/01/96.

COST VALIDATION AUDITS (CVA)

County	Audit Agency	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Report	Amount of Report
Shasta	sco	10/77 - 06/80	11/20/81	\$ 318,863
Shasta	sco	07/80 - 06/85	06/12/87	2,243,519
Shasta	sco	07/85 - 06/88	12/15/89	462,640

IV.D APPEAL HEARD; DECISION PENDING

For the following CVA's and CVR's, a formal hearing has been completed for one or more of exceptions and questioned costs, but a final appeal decision is still pending. There are no CVR's in this category as of 07/01/96.

COST VALIDATION AUDITS (CVA)

County	Audit Agency	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of <u>Report</u>	Amount of Report
Los Angeles DPSS Administative	sco	07/76 - 06/77	02/08/80	\$ 4,436,697
Los Angeles DPSS Administrative	sco	07/77 - 06/80	06/25/82	21,817,942

CVA's Continued

County	Audit Agency	Audit Period	Date of Report	Amount of Report
Los Angeles DPSS Administrative	SCO	07/80 - 06/82	12/07/84	\$19,773,982
Los Angeles DPSS Administrative	sco	07/82 - 06/84	11/21/86	48,582,432
Los Angeles DPSS Administrative	sco	07/84 - 06/86	06/24/88	28,057,667
Los Angeles DPSS Administrative	sco	07/86 - 06/88	09/22/89	9,783,712
Los Angeles DCS Administrative	sco	07/85 - 06/88	06/30/89	29,675,134

IV.E EXCEPTIONS HELD FOR APPLICATION

The following CVA's and CVR's have been finalized but pend development of an approved application methodology. Decision letters have been issued and none of the exceptions/questioned costs have been appealed. There are no CVA's or CVR's in this category as of 07/01/96.

IV.F EXCEPTIONS IN APPLICATION

In the following CVA's and CVR's, actions are being taken to adjust claims so that there will be a proper State, County, and Federal share of costs claimed and to collect or pay any amounts due. After all State and County adjustments have been applied, these CVA's and CVR's will be transferred to the "Applied" list and a record destruction date will be assigned after the final state expenditure report implementing the appeal decision is sent to the DHHS. There are no CVR's or CVR's in this category as of 07/01/96.

IV.G EXCEPTIONS APPLIED

The following CVA's and CVR's have been finalized, all resultant State and County adjustments have been applied and the final state expenditure report implementing the audit exceptions has been sent to the DHHS. Destruction dates for records pertinent to these CVA's and CVR's are specified below. There are no CVR's in this category as of 07/01/96.

COST VALIDATION AUDITS (CVA)

County	Audit Agency	Audit Period	Record Destruction Date**
Alameda	sco	01/77 - 06/78	02/05/97
Alameda	sco	07/78 - 06/81	02/05/97
Alameda	sco	07/81 - 06/84	02/05/97
Alameda	sco	07/84 - 06/87	02/05/97
Alameda	sco	07/87 - 06/90	02/05/97
Alpine	sco	07/84 - 06/88	08/20/96
Contra Costa	sco	07/77 - 06/79	08/21/98
Contra Costa	sco	07/79 - 06/80	08/21/98
Contra Costa	sco	07/80 - 06/83	08/21/98
Fresno	sco	07/85 - 06/88	03/17/97
Imperial	sco	07/84 - 06/89	03/17/97
Kern	sco	07/85 - 06/87	05/11/97
Lake	sco	07/85 - 06/89	04/07/97

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

IV.G EXCEPTIONS APPLIED (CONTINUED)

CVA's Continued

			Record Destruction
County	Audit Agency	Audit Period	Destruction Date**
councy	and Circ y		
Los Angeles Adoptions*	sco	07/79 - 06/82	02/08/98
Los Angeles Adoptions*	sco	07/82 - 09/84	02/08/98
Los Angeles BHI*	sco	07/69 - 06/76	02/08/98
Los Angeles BHI*	sco	07/69 - 06/75	02/08/98
Los Angeles DPSS	sco	07/88 - 06/90	. 04/27/97
Marin	sco	07/82 - 06/85	04/27/97
Mariposa	sco	07/84 - 06/89	09/09/96
Merced	sco	07/85 - 06/88	04/27/97
Monterey	sco	07/86 - 06/89	04/13/97
Orange	sco	07/79 - 06/81	08/21/98
Orange	sco	07/85 - 06/88	08/21/98
Riverside	SCO	07/82 - 06/85	06/01/97
Riverside	SCO	07/85 - 06/87	06/01/97
Riverside	sco	07/87 - 06/89	06/01/97
Sacramento	sco	07/87 - 06/90	04/13/97
San Benito	sco	07/78 - 06/80	09/09/96

^{*} New since ACL 96-16.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

IV.G EXCEPTIONS APPLIED (CONTINUED)

CVA's (Continued)

County	Audit Agency	Audit Period	Record Destruction Date**
San Francisco	sco	01/77 - 06/79	10/18/97
San Francisco	sco	07/79 - 06/81	10/18/97
San Francisco	sco	07/81 - 06/84	10/18/97
San Francisco	sco	07/84 - 06/87	10/18/97
San Francisco	sco	07/87 - 06/89	10/18/97
San Joaquin	sco	07/87 - 06/89	06/01/97
San Mateo	sco	07/86 - 06/89	07/29/96
Santa Clara	sco	07/81 - 06/83	03/29/97
Santa Clara	sco	07/86 - 06/88	03/29/97
Sierra	sco	07/81 - 06/86	07/30/96
Tulare	sco	07/81 - 06/85	04/13/98
Tulare	sco	07/85 - 06/87	04/13/98
Ventura	sco	04/79 - 06/81	04/07/97
Ventura	sco	07/81 - 06/85	04/07/97
Ventura	sco	07/85 - 06/88	04/07/97
Yolo	sco	07/84 - 06/87	03/29/97
Yuba	sco	07/84 - 06/87	05/03/97

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

IMPORTANT NOTICE!

THIS SECTION, ATTACHMENT V - COURT CASES, HAS BEEN REVISED. NEW AND PENDING COURT CASES (FORMERLY SECTION B) WILL NOW BE LISTED IN SECTION A. COURT CASES IN WHICH FINAL JUDGEMENTS HAVE BEEN ISSUED (FORMERLY SECTION A) ARE NOW LISTED IN SECTION B. CLOSED, DISMISSED, OR SETTLED COURT CASES WILL CONTINUE TO BE LISTED IN SECTION C. IN MOST INSTANCES, AS COURT CASES PROCRESS, THEY WILL INITIALLY APPEAR IN SECTION A, THEN MOVE TO SECTION B, AND, FINALLY, TO SECTION C. ADDITIONALLY, THE HEADINGS AND DESCRIPTIONS IN SECTIONS A, B AND C HAVE BEEN REVISED.

ATTACHMENT V Page 1 of 3

Status as of 7/1/96

COURT CASES

A. NEW COURT CASES AND PENDING COURT CASES

The following new court cases and pending court cases require extended public assistance case records retention as indicated.

COURT CASE	REFERENCE FSD #	REFERENCE ACL #	REFERENCE ACIN #	PROGRAM COVERED	PERIOD OF RECORDS COVERED
<u>Lopez</u> v. <u>Espy</u>				Food Stamps	7/30/93 to Present
Miller v. Woods and Community Services for the Disabled v. Woods (and payment to spouses: WRO v. McMahon)		84-58 90-48	I-37-84	IHSS	11/12/78 to Present
Miller, et.al. v Carlson	7.	91-89 91-114 92-61 92-102 93-20		GAIN, AFDC, NET	6/7/91 to Present
Vang v. <u>Healy</u>				Food Stamps	4/13/92 to Present
<u>Aslanian</u> v. <u>Anderson</u>				AFDC, Food Stamps	2/5/93 to Present
<u>Tyler</u> v. <u>Anderson</u>				IHSS	1/1/78 to Present
<u>Welch</u> v. <u>Anderson</u>				AFDC	12/1/92 to 9/30/94
Ortega V. Anderson				AFDC	7/1/95 to Present

SEE "IMPORTANT NOTICE" AT TOP OF ATTACHMENT V, PAGE 1

ATTACHMENT V (

Status as of 7/1/96

B. COURT CASES IN WHICH A FINAL JUDGEMENT HAS BEEN ISSUED

A final judgement has been issued in the following court cases. All public assistance case records previously retained for these court cases may now be destroyed except for those which were used in the determination of eligibility, or ineligibility.

NOTE: Public assistance case records associated with these court cases must be retained only for those recipients who filed a claim and did so within the claiming period established by the court. If a claim was not filed within this period the case record need not be retained beyond the general retention requirements for public assistance case records specified in this All-County Letter.

COURT CASE	REFERENCE FSD #	REFERENCE ACL #	REFERENCE ACIN #	PROGRAM COVERED	PERIOD OF RECORDS COVERED
Sawyer v. Anderson				AFDC	1/10/91 to 12/31/95
<u>Ceja</u> v. <u>McMahon</u>		91-62 91-68		AFDC	6/1/88 to 6/30/91
Yslas v. McMahon		90-70 92-03 94-110	I-67-92	GAIN	10/18/87 to 9/30/93
CCWRO v. McMahon		92-03 94-109		GAIN, AFDC	7/1/85 to 10/1/90
Hang v. McMahon				GAIN	10/29/89 to 2/28/95
Jacobson v. McMahon		90-68 91-56 92-03 93-37	I-41-91 I-67-92	GAIN	5/9/87 to 8/28/93
Petrin v. Carlson				AFDC	5/1/89 to 11/1/93
Crary v. McMahon		90-86 91-24 92-03 92-51	I-14-91	GAIN	12/1/86 to 3/31/91

SEE "IMPORTANT NOTICE" AT TOP OF ATTACHMENT V, PAGE 1

ATTACHMENT V Page 3 of 3

Status as of 7/1/96

C. CLOSED, DISMISSED OR SETTLED COURT CASES

The following court cases have been closed, dismissed or settled. There is no longer a need to retain public assistance case records related to these court cases except in accordance with the general retention requirements for public assistance case records specified in this All-County Letter.

COURT CASE	REFERENCE FSD #	REFERENCE ACL #	REFERENCE ACIN #	PROGRAM COVERED	PERIOD OF RECORDS COVERED
Schneider v. Anderson				AFDC	7/1/92 to Present
<u>Muradyan</u> v. <u>Anderson</u>				GAIN	12/16/89 to Present
Monica Hamilton, et. al. v. Richard Lyng		88-55 88-91		Food Stamps	2/1/88 to 4/10/92

FEDERAL SAMPLE QUALITY CONTROL SANCTION PROCESS NOT COMPLETED

Federal Fiscal Year	Programs	Affected Counties*
1994 1995 1996	AFDC & Food Stamps AFDC & Food Stamps AFDC & Food Stamps	All All

^{*} Counties with federal QC sample error cases. These cases should be retained until the federal sanction process is completed. Counties will be notified of the resolution dates.